arXiv:1510.00835v2 [hep-th] 15 Oct 2015

ITP-UU-15/15
KUNS-2579

Towards the gravity/CYBE correspondence beyond integrability – *Yang-Baxter deformations of* $T^{1,1}$ – [1]

P. Marcos Crichigno

Institute for Theoretical Physics and Spinoza Institute, Utrecht University, Leuvenlaan 4, 3854 CE Utrecht, The Netherlands.

E-mail: p.m.crichigno@uu.nl

Takuya Matsumoto

Graduate School of Mathematics and Institute for Advanced Research, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan

E-mail: takuya.matsumoto@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Kentaroh Yoshida

Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan.

E-mail: kyoshida@gauge.scphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Abstract. Yang-Baxter sigma models, proposed by Klimcik and Delduc-Magro-Vicedo, have been recognized as a powerful framework for studying integrable deformations of two-dimensional non-linear sigma models. In this short article, as an important generalization, we review a *non-integrable* sigma model in the Yang-Baxter sigma model approach based on [arXiv:1406.2249]. In particular, we discuss a family of deformations of the 5D Sasaki-Einstein manifold $T^{1,1}$, instead of the standard deformations of the 5-sphere S^5. For this purpose, we first describe a novel construction of $T^{1,1}$ as a supercoset, and provide a physical interpretation of this construction from viewpoint of the dual Klebanov-Witten field theory. Secondly, we consider a 3-parameter deformation of $T^{1,1}$ by using classical r-matrices satisfying the classical Yang–Baxter equation (CYBE). The resulting metric and NS-NS two-form completely agree with the ones previously obtained via TsT (T-dual – shift – T-dual) transformations, and contain the Lunin-Maldacena background as a special case. Our result indicates that what we refer to as the *gravity/CYBE(Classical Yang-Baxter Equation) correspondence* can be extended beyond integrable cosets.

1. Introduction and main results

Ten-dimensional supergravity describes the low energy dynamics of string theory and has a rich mathematical structure. Finding explicit solutions to the supergravity field equations is an

[1] This article is a brief review of the original paper [1] prepared for a proceeding of a talk given by T.M. at "The XXIIIth International Conference on Integrable Systems and Quantum symmetries (ISQS-23)" held in Prague, Czech Republic, from June 23 till June 27, 2015.

important task to better understand the low energy dynamics of string theory and to develop new examples of the AdS/CFT correspondence [2, 3, 4], which is often limited to cases with a large amount of symmetry. However, these equations are highly nonlinear and finding explicit solutions without a large amount of symmetry is not an easy task.

One approach that has been quite successful in the past is to use known string theory duality transformations, such as T-duality [5], as as solution-generating technique; starting from a known "seed" solution one applies this symmetry to generated a new solution. A particular example are the so-called TsT transformations, which are a sequence of T-dualities (T) and coordinate shifts (s) leading to a continuous family of solutions [6, 7].

In a series of works in recent years [8, 9, 10, 1], it has been observed that classical r-matrices, which are solutions to the classical Yang–Baxter equation (*CYBE* in short), characterize various deformations of type IIB supergravity backgrounds. One of the simplest examples is the correspondence between an Abelian r-matrix, consisting of three Cartan generators of $\mathfrak{su}(4)$, and the Lunin-Maldacena-Frolov backgrounds [6, 7, 8] obtained by TsT transformations. The gravity duals of the 4D non-commutative gauge theory [11, 12] can also be obtained by certain r-matrices [9]. These observations have led us to propose a correspondence between classical r-matrices and deformed supergravity solutions, which we refer to as the *gravity/CYBE correspondence* [8].

The reader may wonder how it is that r-matrices, which are purely algebraic objects, can be used to study geometric objects such as gravity backgrounds. The notion which makes this possible is *Yang-Baxter sigma models*. These models, originally introduced by Klimcik [13], are integrable deformations of the principal chiral model based on an r-matrix satisfying the *modified* classical Yang-Baxter equation (*mCYBE* in short).[2] These were extended to sigma models defined on bosonic symmetric cosets [15], and applied to study an integrable deformation of the $AdS_5\times S^5$ superstring [16] in [17, 18]. We note that the work [15] is the generalization of *squashed sigma models* defined on the squashed S^3, and their integrable structures have been investigated in [19, 20, 21]. The deformed metric and the NS-NS two-form of the deformed $AdS_5\times S^5$ superstring were calculated in [22]. However, the deformed background does not seem to be a supergravity solution for a generic value of the deformation parameter [23].

Deformations of the $AdS_5\times S^5$ superstring by the CYBE (rather than by the mCYBE) were proposed in [24] and the supergravity solution was constructed in [25]. The generic formulation for group manifolds and bosonic cosets was introduced in [26]. These works were inspired by a careful study of the three-dimensional case, namely *Schrödinger sigma models* [27, 28, 29]. Although the CYBE is a particular case of the mCYBE, their solutions seem to be very different. One of the advantages of using the CYBE is the variety of classical r-matrices and partial deformations of the target space that are possible. In the case of the mCYBE it seems difficult to find solutions, apart from the famous r-matrix of the Drinfeld-Jimbo type [30, 31]. An important aspect of Yang-Baxter deformations is that they preserve the integrability of the system, *i.e.*, if the undeformed model is integrable, so is the deformed model. Thus, it is not surprising that the initial focus was on studying deformations of known integrable models such as $AdS_5\times S^5$ and four-dimensional Minkowski spacetime [32, 33].[3] The various deformed Lax pairs are summarized in [34] and the expressions in terms of the local coordinates are presented. However, one may wonder whether integrability of the undeformed model is essential in the gravity/CYBE correspondence, or if this correspondence may hold beyond integrability. If so, what are the resulting deformed backgrounds?

This question led us in [1] to consider deformations of the sigma model defined on the five-dimensional Sasaki-Einstein space known as $T^{1,1}$ [38] (see also [39, 40] for relevant work). As shown in [41], due to the appearance of chaotic behavior, this model is non-integrable. Thus, this is a good testing ground for possible generalizations of the gravity/CYBE correspondence

[2] Quantum aspects of these models are discussed in [14, 56].

[3] Integrable structures in AdS/CFT were discovered in [35, 36]. For a comprehensive review see [37].

in a non-integrable setting. Another important aspect of $T^{1,1}$ is that it admits a Sasaki-Einstein metric and, as a consequence, $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times T^{1,1}$ is a good supergravity background. In fact, this was an early example [42] of AdS/CFT with reduced supersymmetry. Thus, the study of deformations of $T^{1,1}$ is also interesting from the holographic perspective. See [43] for a two-parameter deformation of metrics on $T^{1,1}$ in terms of gauged linear sigma models, and [44] for a one-parameter deformation and a study of supersymmetric localization of the two-dimensional sigma model on this space.

The first obstacle to apply the Yang-Baxter deformation to $T^{1,1}$ is how to describe the Sasaki-Einstein structure on the manifold by a coset. Although it is well-known that $T^{1,1}$ [38, 42] is given by

$$\frac{SU(2) \times SU(2)}{U(1)_1}\,, \tag{1}$$

the "canonical" coset metric on the space is not the Sasaki-Einstein metric we are interested in. Although this problem may be circumvented by a (rather artificial) rescaling of the vielbeins (see App. A in [1] for details and references), this is an unsatisfactory resolution from the point of view of the Yang-Baxter deformation; since the coset construction above does not make the full $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ symmetry of the space manifest, it is not clear how one may perform a general (thee-parameter) Yang-Baxter deformation of the space. As shown in [1] a novel construction which solves all these problems is given by the coset

$$T^{1,1} = \frac{SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R}{U(1)_1 \times U(1)_2}\,. \tag{2}$$

Although this coset is closely related to the one above, there is an important difference; despite involving only bosonic groups, it is in fact a *super-coset* in the sense that one must think of these bosonic groups as the bosonic part of a larger supergroup (this will be explained in detail below). Although this construction might seem at first unnatural, a clear advantage is that the full symmetry appears in the numerator of the coset and is therefore manifest. Furthermore, the fact that one should view this as a supercoset has a natural interpretation in terms of the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superconformal group $PSU(2,2|1)$ of the dual field theory.

Having an appropriate description of the space as a coset, the next step is to apply the Yang-Baxter deformation. As a simple example we consider deformations associated with an Abelian classical r-matrix, given by

$$r = \frac{1}{3}\hat{\gamma}_1 L_3 \wedge M + \frac{1}{3}\hat{\gamma}_2 M \wedge K_3 - \frac{1}{6}\hat{\gamma}_3 K_3 \wedge L_3\,, \tag{3}$$

where K_3 and L_3 are the Cartan generators of two $SU(2)$'s respectively and M is the $U(1)_R$ generator of the numerator in (2) and $\hat{\gamma}_1, \hat{\gamma}_2, \hat{\gamma}_3$ are three continuous parameters. Note that if the $U(1)_R$ was not present in the numerator, only a one-parameter deformation would be possible in this setting. Although it is not guaranteed *a-priori* that such a deformation leads to a new supergravity background, it turns out that the deformed metric and the NS-NS two-form agree exactly with the ones obtained by TsT-transformations [45] (in particular for $\hat{\gamma}_1 = \hat{\gamma}_2 = 0$, the deformed background reduces to the one given by Lunin-Maldacena [6]), and is therefore a good supergravity background. Thus, our result indicates that the Yang-Baxter sigma models based on the CYBE can be applied beyond non-integrable cosets and the *gravity/CYBE correspondence* seems to extend to a wider class of supergravity backgrounds than one may have expected.

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we discuss the new coset construction of $T^{1,1}$ given in (2). In Section 3, we apply the Yang-Baxter deformation and show that the resulting backgrounds coincide with the TsT-transformed supergravity solutions, which corresponds to a β-deformation for the one-parameter case and to a γ_i-deformation for the three-parameter case, respectively. Section 4 is devoted conclusions and discussions.

2. Coset construction of $T^{1,1}$

§ *The metric of* $T^{1,1}$

Let us start by recalling some basic elements. The five-dimensional space $T^{1,1}$ admits a Sasaki-Einstein metric, given by [38]

$$ds^2_{T^{1,1}} = \frac{1}{6}(d\theta_1^2 + \sin^2\theta_1 d\phi_1^2) + \frac{1}{6}(d\theta_2^2 + \sin^2\theta_2 d\phi_2^2) + \frac{1}{9}(d\psi + \cos\theta_1 d\phi_1 + \cos\theta_2 d\phi_2)^2\,, \qquad (4)$$

where the angle variables $\theta_i \in [0,\pi), \phi_i \in [0,2\pi)$ with $i = 1,2$ are the coordinates of the two two-spheres and the coordinate $\psi \in [0,4\pi)$ is along the $U(1)$-fiber. The isometry of this manifold is $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R$, an important fact when studying general (Abelian) Yang-Baxter deformations below.

§ *Brief review of coset spaces*

Before going into the details of the coset description of $T^{1,1}$, let us review some basics of general coset spaces and their metrics. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a homogeneous space, on which a Lie group G is acting. For a fixed point of $\mathcal{M}$ we take a subgroup $H \subset G$ which preserves the reference point. Conceptually, G is the global symmetry of the space $\mathcal{M}$ and H is the local symmetry around the fixed point. Then, $\mathcal{M}$ corresponds to the coset space

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{G}{H} = \frac{\text{Global symmetry}}{\text{Local symmetry}}\,. \qquad (5)$$

A standard example of a coset space is the two-sphere S^2, whose global symmetry is $SO(3) \simeq SU(2)$. The residual symmetry around the north (or south) pole is $SO(2) \simeq U(1)$. This leads to the well-known coset description of the two-sphere; $\mathrm{S}^2 = SU(2)/U(1)$.

To obtain the metric on $\mathcal{M}$ from the coset (5), we need two ingredients. These are the Maurer-Cartan one-form

$$A = g^{-1}dg \qquad \text{with} \qquad g \in G\,, \qquad (6)$$

and the coset projector

$$P : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}\,, \qquad (7)$$

where $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ are the Lie algebras associated with the Lie group G and H, respectively.

Next, let us introduce the local coordinates $\{\theta^i\}$ through the parameterization of the group element $g \in G$,

$$g = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\dim\mathfrak{g}} \theta^i T_i\right) \qquad \text{with} \qquad T_i \in \mathfrak{g}\,. \qquad (8)$$

Then, a metric g_{ij} on $\mathcal{M}$ is obtained by

$$ds^2_{\mathcal{M}} = \mathrm{Tr}[AP(A)] = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\dim\mathcal{M}} g_{ij} d\theta^i d\theta^j\,, \qquad (9)$$

where the trace is taken over a particular representation of $\mathfrak{g}$, which in our case will be the fundamental representation.

§ *Supercoset description of* $T^{1,1}$

Now we discuss the (super)coset construction of $T^{1,1}$ introduced in [1]. Unlike the usual description (1), we take the numerator to be the *full* isometry of the space and divide by an appropriate subgroup:

$$T^{1,1} = \frac{SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R}{U(1)_1 \times U(1)_2} \,. \tag{10}$$

We denote the generators of the two $SU(2)$'s by K_i, L_i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$, where K_3 and L_3 are the Cartan generators, and we denote the generator of $U(1)_R$ by M. The generators of $U(1)_i$ in the denominator are denoted by T_i $(i = 1, 2)$, which we choose to be embedded into the numerator by

$$T_1 = K_3 + L_3 \,, \qquad T_2 = K_3 - L_3 + 4M \,. \tag{11}$$

We note that T_1 corresponds to the usual $U(1)_1$ in the standard coset description [38, 42], while T_2 has been chosen appropriately in order to obtain the metric we are after.[4]

The Maurer-Cartan one-form in our case is given by

$$A = g^{-1} dg \qquad \text{with} \qquad g \in SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R \,, \tag{12}$$

with the parameterization

$$g = \exp\big(\phi_1 K_3 + \phi_2 L_3 + 2\psi M\big) \exp\big(\theta_1 K_2 + (\theta_2 + \pi) L_2\big) \,. \tag{13}$$

To define the coset projector explicitly, it is convenient to work with the fundamental representation. Since those of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ and $\mathfrak{u}(1)$ are 2×2 and 1×1 matrices, respectively, the whole Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$ is represented by a 5×5 block matrix. We, however, adopt the following $(4|1) \times (4|1)$ *super*-matrix instead of the 5×5 bosonic block matrix,

$$K_i = -\frac{i}{2} \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} \sigma_i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) , \quad L_i = -\frac{i}{2} \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_i & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) , \quad M = -\frac{i}{2} \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right) , \tag{14}$$

where σ_i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ are the Pauli matrices.[5] As we shall discuss in the next subsection, the appearance of the supermatrix is rather natural from the perspective of the full ten-dimensional $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times T^{1,1}$ coset space. Since all off-diagonal blocks in (14) are zero, there are no fermionic elements. Thus, the essential difference in considering supermatrices, rather than bosonic ones, is that in computing the metric one must use the *super*trace STr , rather than the Tr, which is defined by

$$\mathrm{STr} \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{array}\right) \equiv \mathrm{Tr}(A) - \mathrm{Tr}(D) \,, \tag{15}$$

where A, D are the bosonic and B, C are the fermionic block matrices. The representation given in (14) has the following normalization:

$$\mathrm{STr}(K_a K_b) = \mathrm{STr}(L_a L_b) = -\frac{1}{2} \delta_{ab} \,, \qquad \mathrm{STr}(MM) = \frac{1}{4} \,. \tag{16}$$

[4] The manifolds $T^{p,q}$ with p, q non-negative integers are defined by the same coset $T^{p,q} \simeq [SU(2) \times SU(2)]/U(1)_1$, but with $T_1 = pK_3 + qL_3$ (see [38] for details). This explains why the manifold is called $T^{1,1}$.

[5] The convention are given by $\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Now, we define the coset projector as follows;

$$P(x) = x - \frac{\mathrm{STr}(T_1 x)}{\mathrm{STr}(T_1 T_1)} T_1 - \frac{\mathrm{STr}(T_2 x)}{\mathrm{STr}(T_2 T_2)} T_2 \,, \tag{17}$$

for $x \in \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$. Explicit expressions for T_1 and T_2 follow from (11) and (14). Finally, with this prescription, the metric (4) is obtained by computing [1]

$$ds^2_{T^{1,1}} = -\frac{1}{3}\mathrm{STr}[AP(A)] \,. \tag{18}$$

§ *Why Supermatrices?*
One may wonder about the significance of the appearance the supertrace STr, rather than the usual trace. Although it would be interesting to address this question directly (and study possible generalizations to other spaces), here we offer an explanation of the case at hand based on AdS/CFT. It is well known that backgrounds of the form $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times X$, with X a 5D Sasaki-Einstein manifold describe the gravity duals of certain $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superconformal field theories. The case $X = T^{1,1}$ was an early example proposed by Klebanov-Witten [42] (see [46] for a review). According to the AdS/CFT dictionary, the isometries of the internal manifold X translate into global symmetries of the dual field theory. In the canonical case of S^5, the $SO(6) \sim SU(4)$ symmetry corresponds to the R-symmetry of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ dual field theory. In the case of $T^{1,1}$ the $U(1)_R$ part of the isometry corresponds to R-symmetry of the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ dual field theory, while the remaining $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ corresponds to the flavor symmetry of the field theory. Thus, these two symmetries should be physically distinguished. We recall that $U(1)_R$ is included in the bosonic subgroup of the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superconformal group $PSU(2,2|1)$ as follows,

$$SO(2,4) \times U(1)_R = SU(2,2) \times U(1)_R \overset{\text{bosonic}}{\subset} PSU(2,2|1) \,. \tag{19}$$

Thus, from the perspective of the ten-dimensional space $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times T^{1,1}$, the internal manifold $T^{1,1}$ in (10) appears naturally as the boconic part of the supercoset[6]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{AdS}_5 \times T^{1,1} \quad &\simeq \quad \frac{SO(2,4)}{SO(1,4)} \times \frac{U(1)_R \times SU(2) \times SU(2)}{U(1)_1 \times U(1)_2} \\ &\overset{\text{bosonic}}{\subset} \frac{PSU(2,2\,|1) \times SU(2) \times SU(2)}{SO(1,4) \times U(1)_1 \times U(1)_2} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Here we have emphasized the $T^{1,1}$ part by the gray background. Keeping in mind the above supercoset, the $(4|1) \times (4|1)$ supermatrix in (14) may be justified by interpreting the matrix as a part of the bigger $(8|1) \times (8|1)$ supermatrix including the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superconformal algebra as follows:

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} SU(2) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & SU(2) & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & U(1)_R \end{array}\right) \quad \hookrightarrow \quad \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} SU(2,2) & 0 & 0 & \overline{F}^A \\ 0 & SU(2) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & SU(2) & 0 \\ \hline F_A & 0 & 0 & U(1)_R \end{array}\right) . \tag{22}$$

[6] Here it is worth recalling the more familiar case of $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$, in which case the supercoset reads

$$\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5 \;\simeq\; \frac{SO(2,4)}{SO(1,4)} \times \frac{SU(4)_R}{SO(5)} \overset{\text{bosonic}}{\subset} \frac{PSU(2,2\,|4)}{SO(1,4) \times SO(5)} \,. \tag{20}$$

In this case, the R-symmetry is $SU(4)_R$ and is a part of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal algebra $PSU(2,2|4)$.

In the larger supermatrix on the right of (22) the $\mathcal{N}=1$ superconformal algebra $PSU(2,2|1)$ is sitting at the four corners, together with the eight supercharges $F_A, \overline{F}^A$ $(A=1,\cdots,4)$. From this supermatrix one easily recognizes that the lines separating the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading of the $(4|1)\times(4|1)$ supermatrix on the left arises from the structure of the $\mathcal{N}=1$ superconformal algebra $PSU(2,2|1)$ on the right. Thus, the appearance of supermatrices (and with this particular grading) is illuminated by AdS/CFT.

3. Deformations of $T^{1,1}$ as Yang-Baxter sigma models

§ *Yang-Baxter sigma models*

Now that we have discussed the coset (10) in detail, we apply the Yang-Baxter sigma model approach to study deformations of this space. We will use a classical r-matrix [26, 24].

The Lagrangian of the Yang-Baxter sigma model on $T^{1,1}$ is defined by

$$L=\frac{1}{3}(\gamma^{\alpha\beta}-\epsilon^{\alpha\beta})\mathrm{STr}\left[A_\alpha P\frac{1}{1-2R_g\circ P}A_\beta\right], \tag{23}$$

where the coset projector P given in (17) and the flat metric on the world-sheet $\gamma^{\alpha\beta}$ and the anti-symmetric tensor $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}$ are normalized as $\gamma^{\alpha\beta}=\mathrm{diag}(-1,+1)$ and $\epsilon^{\tau\sigma}=1$. The *dressed* operator R_g with $g\in SU(2)\times SU(2)\times U(1)_R$ is defined by

$$R_g(x)\equiv g^{-1}R(gxg^{-1})g\,, \tag{24}$$

where the linear R-operator satisfies the CYBE (classical Yang-Baxter equation)

$$[R(x),R(y)]-R([R(x),y]+[x,R(y)])=0 \tag{25}$$

for $x,y\in\mathfrak{su}(2)\oplus\mathfrak{su}(2)\oplus\mathfrak{u}(1)_R$, rather than the mCYBE (modified classical Yang-Baxter equation) [17].

The deformed projected current in the Lagrangian (23)

$$P(J_\beta):=P\frac{1}{1-2R_g\circ P}A_\beta \tag{26}$$

can be obtained by solving the equation

$$(1-2P\circ R_g)P(J_\beta)=P(A_\beta)\,. \tag{27}$$

§ *Classical r-matrix and the linear R-operator*

Deformations of Yang-Baxter sigma models (23) are characterized by the linear R-operator, and reduces to the undeformed model for $R=0$. In the case of $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5$, it was revealed in [8] that the R-operators which non-trivially act on the Cartan generators of $\mathfrak{su}(4)$ yield the β- or $\hat{\gamma}_i$-deformed backgrounds [6, 7]. As a simple generalization of the case S^5 case, here we also consider the Abelian r-matrix consisting of the three Cartan generators,[7]

$$r=\frac{1}{3}\hat{\gamma}_1 L_3\wedge M+\frac{1}{3}\hat{\gamma}_2 M\wedge K_3-\frac{1}{6}\hat{\gamma}_3 K_3\wedge L_3\,, \tag{28}$$

with three deformation parameters $\hat{\gamma}_i$ $(i=1,2,3)$. The wedge stands for the skew-symmetric tensor product $x\wedge y\equiv x\otimes y-y\otimes x$ and K_3 and L_3 are the Cartan generators of two $\mathfrak{su}(2)$'s and M is the $\mathfrak{u}(1)_R$ generator (14).

[7] In principle, the R-operator does not have to satisfy the (m)CYBE since the undeformed model is no longer integrable.

In general, from a tensorial classical r-matrix such as in (28), the linear R-operator is obtained by tracing out the second entries;

$$R(x) = \mathrm{STr}_2[r(1 \otimes x)] \equiv \sum_i \big(a_i \mathrm{STr}(b_i x) - b_i \mathrm{STr}(a_i x)\big)\,, \tag{29}$$
$$\text{with} \quad r = \sum_i a_i \wedge b_i = \sum_i (a_i \otimes b_i - b_i \otimes a_i)\,.$$

Here it is noted that, as a special feature of $T^{1,1}$, we shall use the supertrace STr in (15) instead of the usual one.

Using the inner products in (16), the explicit action of the linear R-operator associated with the classical r-matrix (28) reads

$$R\begin{pmatrix} K_3 \\ L_3 \\ M \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{1}{12}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma_3 & 2\gamma_2 \\ -\gamma_3 & 0 & -2\gamma_1 \\ \gamma_2 & -\gamma_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} K_3 \\ L_3 \\ M \end{pmatrix}\,, \quad R(\text{others}) = 0\,. \tag{30}$$

§ *Deformed backgrounds*
Plugging the linear R-operator (30) into the Yang-Baxter sigma model (23), one can read off the deformed metric and the NS-NS two-from [1] ;

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= G(\hat\gamma_1,\hat\gamma_2,\hat\gamma_3)\Big[\frac{1}{6}\sum_{i=1,2}(G(\hat\gamma_1,\hat\gamma_2,\hat\gamma_3)^{-1}d\theta_i^2 + \sin^2\theta_i d\phi_i^2) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{9}(d\psi + \cos\theta_1 d\phi_1 + \cos\theta_2 d\phi_2)^2 + \frac{\sin^2\theta_1\sin^2\theta_2}{324}(\hat\gamma_3 d\psi + \hat\gamma_1 d\phi_1 + \hat\gamma_2 d\phi_2)^2\Big]\,, \qquad (31) \\ B_2 &= G(\hat\gamma_1,\hat\gamma_2,\hat\gamma_3)\Big[\Big\{\hat\gamma_3\Big(\frac{\sin^2\theta_1\sin^2\theta_2}{36} + \frac{\cos^2\theta_1\sin^2\theta_2 + \cos^2\theta_2\sin^2\theta_1}{54}\Big) \\ &\qquad - \hat\gamma_2\frac{\cos\theta_2\sin^2\theta_1}{54} - \hat\gamma_1\frac{\cos\theta_1\sin^2\theta_2}{54}\Big\} d\phi_1\wedge d\phi_2 \\ &\quad + \frac{(\hat\gamma_3\cos\theta_2 - \hat\gamma_2)\sin^2\theta_1}{54} d\phi_1\wedge d\psi - \frac{(\hat\gamma_3\cos\theta_1 - \hat\gamma_1)\sin^2\theta_2}{54} d\phi_2\wedge d\psi\Big]\,, \qquad (32) \end{aligned}$$

where the scalar function G is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} G(\hat\gamma_1,\hat\gamma_2,\hat\gamma_3)^{-1} &\equiv 1 + \hat\gamma_3^2\Big(\frac{\sin^2\theta_1\sin^2\theta_2}{36} + \frac{\cos^2\theta_1\sin^2\theta_2 + \cos^2\theta_2\sin^2\theta_1}{54}\Big) + \hat\gamma_2^2\frac{\sin^2\theta_1}{54} \\ &\quad + \hat\gamma_1^2\frac{\sin^2\theta_2}{54} - \hat\gamma_2\hat\gamma_3\frac{\sin^2\theta_1\cos\theta_2}{27} - \hat\gamma_3\hat\gamma_1\frac{\sin^2\theta_2\cos\theta_1}{27}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

These expressions for the metric and NS-NS two-form coincide exactly with the ones obtained in [45] via TsT-transformations.[8] Thus, we have shown by means of an explicit example that the *gravity/CYBE correspondence* holds beyond the strict case of integrable backgrounds.

4. Conclusions and discussions

The main question addressed in this short article is whether the *gravity/CYBE correspondence* [8, 9, 10] can be extended beyond the integrable case. The answer seems to be yes, as first realized in [1] and reviewed here.

To recapitulate, we have shown this to be the case by means of an explicit example, namely the 5D Sasaki-Einstein space $T^{1,1}$, which was shown to be non-integrable in [41, 47]. Along the

[8] In the special case $\hat\gamma_1 = \hat\gamma_2 = 0$ and $\hat\gamma_3 \neq 0$ one obtains the Lunin-Maldacena background [6].

way to showing this we had to develop a novel (super)coset construction for this space, namely (10). As discussed, unlike the standard coset construction, this prescription leads directly to the Sasaki-Einstein metric on the space and is specially suited to applying the Yang-Baxter deformation. Although the origin of the supermatrix construction is not entirely clear from a purely mathematical standpoint, it is a rather natural construction from the viewpoint of the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superconformal symmetry of the dual field theory. It would be interesting to study the mathematical origin of this construction, as well as possible generalizations of it to other coset spaces.

Next, we have applied the Yang-Baxter sigma model deformation with a general Abelian r-matrix (28), showing that the resulting deformed metric and the NS-NS two-form are in complete agreement with the deformed supergravity backgrounds obtained by TsT-transformations, corresponding to β- or $\hat{\gamma}_i$-deformations [6, 45]. This establishes that integrability does not seem to be an essential feature in the gravity/CYBE correspondence. At the moment, it is not clear to the authors if this relation is specific to $T^{1,1}$ or if it may extend to general non-integrable backgrounds. Thus, it would certainly be interesting to explore further examples. One may consider for example deformations of $T^{1,1}$ by non-Abelian r-matrices, or possible extensions to the general family of Sasaki-Einstein manifolds such as $Y^{p,q}$, which are referred as to *cohomogeneity* 1.[9] At a more fundamental level, it would be very interesting to have a better understanding in general of the role of the CYBE providing deformations of non-integrable backgrounds.

It would also be interesting to study whether the supercoset construction described here, and its Yang-Baxter deformations, can be realized in terms of generalized $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ gauged linear sigma models, along the lines of [44, 43].

Other deformations of sigma models which have been proposed and are actively studied are [48, 49].[10] One of them is referred as to *λ-deformations* [49]. The relation between η-deformations, which are based on classical r-matrices of the Drinfeld-Jimbo type, and λ-deformations was considered by [54, 55, 56], where it was explained that these are *Poisson-Lie T-dual*. The integrated picture is also captured from the perspective of *the $\mathcal{E}$-models* by [57].

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Gleb Arutyunov, Riccardo Borsato, Martin Roček and Stefan Vandoren for valuable comments and discussions for the work [1]. P.M.C. is supported by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) under the VICI Grant 680-47-603. This work is part of the D-ITP consortium, a program of the NWO that is funded by the Dutch Ministry of Education, Culture and Science (OCW). The work of K.Y. is supported by Supporting Program for Interaction-based Initiative Team Studies (SPIRITS) from Kyoto University and by the JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No.15K05051. This work is also supported in part by the JSPS Japan-Russia Research Cooperative Program and the JSPS Japan-Hungary Research Cooperative Program.

References

[1] P. M. Crichigno, T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, "Deformations of $T^{1,1}$ as Yang-Baxter sigma models," arXiv:1406.2249 [hep-th].

[2] J. M. Maldacena, "The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 231 [Int. J. Theor. Phys. **38** (1999) 1113]. [arXiv:hep-th/9711200].

[3] E. Witten, "Anti-de Sitter space and holography," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 253 (1998) [hep-th/9802150].

[4] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, "Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory," Phys. Lett. B **428**, 105 (1998) [hep-th/9802109].

[9] Although this may seem possible conceptually, the general case is technically much more difficult.

[10] For the further references of the Yang-Baxter sigma models, for instance, see [50, 51, 52, 53].

[5] T. Buscher, "A Symmetry of the String Background Field Equations," Phys. Lett. B **184** (1987) 59; "Path-Integral Derivation of Quantum Duality in Non-Linear Sigma Models," Phys. Lett. B **201** (1988) 466.

[6] O. Lunin and J. M. Maldacena, "Deforming field theories with $U(1)\times U(1)$ global symmetry and their gravity duals," JHEP **0505** (2005) 033 [hep-th/0502086].

[7] S. Frolov, "Lax pair for strings in Lunin-Maldacena background," JHEP **0505** (2005) 069 [hep-th/0503201].

[8] T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, "Lunin-Maldacena backgrounds from the classical Yang-Baxter equation – Towards the gravity/CYBE correspondence," JHEP **1406** (2014) 135 [arXiv:1404.1838 [hep-th]].

[9] T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, "Integrability of classical strings dual for noncommutative gauge theories," JHEP **1406** (2014) 163 [arXiv:1404.3657 [hep-th]].

[10] T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, "Yang-Baxter deformations and string dualities," JHEP **1503** (2015) 137 [arXiv:1412.3658 [hep-th]].

[11] A. Hashimoto and N. Itzhaki, "Noncommutative Yang-Mills and the AdS / CFT correspondence," Phys. Lett. B **465** (1999) 142 [hep-th/9907166].

[12] J. M. Maldacena and J. G. Russo, "Large N limit of noncommutative gauge theories," JHEP **9909** (1999) 025 [hep-th/9908134].

[13] C. Klimcik, "Yang-Baxter sigma models and dS/AdS T duality," JHEP **0212** (2002) 051 [hep-th/0210095]; "On integrability of the Yang-Baxter sigma-model," J. Math. Phys. **50** (2009) 043508 [arXiv:0802.3518 [hep-th]]; "Integrability of the bi-Yang-Baxter sigma model," arXiv:1402.2105 [math-ph].

[14] R. Squellari, "Yang-Baxter σ model: Quantum aspects," Nucl. Phys. B **881** (2014) 502 [arXiv:1401.3197 [hep-th]].

[15] F. Delduc, M. Magro and B. Vicedo, "On classical q-deformations of integrable sigma-models," JHEP **1311** (2013) 192 [arXiv:1308.3581 [hep-th]].

[16] R. R. Metsaev and A. A. Tseytlin, "Type IIB superstring action in $AdS_5\times S^5$ background," Nucl. Phys. B **533** (1998) 109 [hep-th/9805028].

[17] F. Delduc, M. Magro and B. Vicedo, "An integrable deformation of the $AdS_5\times S^5$ superstring action," Phys. Rev. Lett. **112** (2014) 051601 [arXiv:1309.5850 [hep-th]].

[18] F. Delduc, M. Magro and B. Vicedo, "Derivation of the action and symmetries of the q-deformed AdS_5 x S^5 superstring," arXiv:1406.6286 [hep-th].

[19] I. Kawaguchi and K. Yoshida, "Hybrid classical integrability in squashed sigma models," Phys. Lett. B **705** (2011) 251 [arXiv:1107.3662 [hep-th]]; "Hybrid classical integrable structure of squashed sigma models: A short summary," J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **343** (2012) 012055 [arXiv:1110.6748 [hep-th]].

[20] I. Kawaguchi, T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, "The classical origin of quantum affine algebra in squashed sigma models," JHEP **1204** (2012) 115 [arXiv:1201.3058 [hep-th]]; "On the classical equivalence of monodromy matrices in squashed sigma model," JHEP **1206** (2012) 082 [arXiv:1203.3400 [hep-th]].

[21] I. Kawaguchi and K. Yoshida, "Hidden Yangian symmetry in sigma model on squashed sphere," JHEP **1011** (2010) 032 [arXiv:1008.0776 [hep-th]]. I. Kawaguchi, D. Orlando and K. Yoshida, "Yangian symmetry in deformed WZNW models on squashed spheres," Phys. Lett. B **701** (2011) 475 [arXiv:1104.0738 [hep-th]]; I. Kawaguchi and K. Yoshida, "A deformation of quantum affine algebra in squashed WZNW models," J. Math. Phys. **55** (2014) 062302 [arXiv:1311.4696 [hep-th]].

[22] G. Arutyunov, R. Borsato and S. Frolov, "S-matrix for strings on η-deformed $AdS_5\times S^5$," JHEP **1404** (2014) 002 [arXiv:1312.3542 [hep-th]].

[23] G. Arutyunov, R. Borsato and S. Frolov, "Puzzles of eta-deformed $AdS_5\times S^5$," arXiv:1507.04239 [hep-th].

[24] I. Kawaguchi, T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, "Jordanian deformations of the $AdS_5\times S^5$ superstring," JHEP **1404** (2014) 153 [arXiv:1401.4855 [hep-th]].

[25] I. Kawaguchi, T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, "A Jordanian deformation of AdS space in type IIB supergravity," JHEP **1406** (2014) 146 [arXiv:1402.6147 [hep-th]].

[26] T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, "Yang-Baxter sigma models based on the CYBE," Nucl. Phys. B **893** (2015) 287 [arXiv:1501.03665 [hep-th]].

[27] I. Kawaguchi and K. Yoshida, "Classical integrability of Schrödinger sigma models and q-deformed Poincare symmetry," JHEP **1111** (2011) 094 [arXiv:1109.0872 [hep-th]];

[28] I. Kawaguchi and K. Yoshida, "Exotic symmetry and monodromy equivalence in Schrödinger sigma models," JHEP **1302** (2013) 024 [arXiv:1209.4147 [hep-th]].

[29] I. Kawaguchi, T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, "Schrödinger sigma models and Jordanian twists," JHEP **1308** (2013) 013 [arXiv:1305.6556 [hep-th]].

[30] V. G. Drinfel'd, "Hopf algebras and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation," Sov. Math. Dokl. **32** (1985) 254; "Quantum groups," J. Sov. Math. **41** (1988) 898 [Zap. Nauchn. Semin. **155**, 18 (1986)].

[31] M. Jimbo, "A q difference analog of $U(g)$ and the Yang-Baxter equation," Lett. Math. Phys. **10** (1985) 63.

[32] T. Matsumoto, D. Orlando, S. Reffert, J. i. Sakamoto and K. Yoshida, "Yang-Baxter deformations of Minkowski spacetime," arXiv:1505.04553 [hep-th].

[33] A. Borowiec, H. Kyono, J. Lukierski, J. Sakamoto and K. Yoshida, "Yang-Baxter sigma models and Lax pairs arising from κ-Poincaré r-matrices," arXiv:1510.03083 [hep-th].

[34] T. Kameyama, H. Kyono, J. i. Sakamoto and K. Yoshida, "Lax pairs on Yang-Baxter deformed backgrounds," arXiv:1509.00173 [hep-th].

[35] J. A. Minahan and K. Zarembo, "The Bethe ansatz for N=4 superYang-Mills," JHEP **0303** (2003) 013 [hep-th/0212208].

[36] I. Bena, J. Polchinski and R. Roiban, "Hidden symmetries of the $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5$ superstring," Phys. Rev. D **69** (2004) 046002 [hep-th/0305116].

[37] N. Beisert *et al.*, "Review of AdS/CFT Integrability: An Overview," Lett. Math. Phys. **99** (2012) 3 [arXiv:1012.3982 [hep-th]].

[38] P. Candelas and X. C. de la Ossa, "Comments on Conifolds," Nucl. Phys. B **342** (1990) 246.

[39] L. Castellani, L. J. Romans and N. P. Warner, "Symmetries of Coset Spaces and Kaluza-Klein Supergravity," Annals Phys. **157**, 394 (1984).

[40] L. J. Romans, "New Compactifications of Chiral $N = 2d = 10$ Supergravity," Phys. Lett. B **153** (1985) 392.

[41] P. Basu and L. A. Pando Zayas, "Chaos Rules out Integrability of Strings in $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times T^{1,1}$," Phys. Lett. B **700** (2011) 243 [arXiv:1103.4107 [hep-th]].

[42] I. R. Klebanov and E. Witten, "Superconformal field theory on three-branes at a Calabi-Yau singularity," Nucl. Phys. B **536** (1998) 199 [hep-th/9807080].

[43] P. M. Crichigno and M. Roček, "On gauged linear sigma models with torsion," arXiv:1506.00335 [hep-th].

[44] F. Benini, P. M. Crichigno, D. Jain and J. Nian, "Semichiral Fields on S^2 and Generalized Kähler Geometry," arXiv:1505.06207 [hep-th].

[45] A. Catal-Ozer, "Lunin-Maldacena deformations with three parameters," JHEP **0602** (2006) 026 [hep-th/0512290].

[46] C. P. Herzog, I. R. Klebanov and P. Ouyang, "D-branes on the conifold and N=1 gauge / gravity dualities," hep-th/0205100.

[47] Y. Asano, D. Kawai, H. Kyono and K. Yoshida, "Chaotic strings in a near Penrose limit of $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\ \mathrm{T}^{1,1}$," JHEP **1508** (2015) 060 [arXiv:1505.07583 [hep-th]].

[48] T. J. Hollowood, J. L. Miramontes and D. M. Schmidtt, "Integrable Deformations of Strings on Symmetric Spaces," arXiv:1407.2840 [hep-th]; T. J. Hollowood, J. L. Miramontes and D. Schmidtt, "An Integrable Deformation of the $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5$ Superstring," arXiv:1409.1538 [hep-th].

[49] K. Sfetsos, "Integrable interpolations: From exact CFTs to non-Abelian T-duals," Nucl. Phys. B **880** (2014) 225 [arXiv:1312.4560 [hep-th]]; K. Sfetsos and D. C. Thompson, "Spacetimes for λ-deformations," JHEP **1412** (2014) 164 [arXiv:1410.1886 [hep-th]]; K. Sfetsos and K. Siampos, "The anisotropic λ-deformed SU(2) model is integrable," Phys. Lett. B **743** (2015) 160 [arXiv:1412.5181 [hep-th]]; S. Demulder, K. Sfetsos and D. C. Thompson, "Integrable λ-deformations: Squashing Coset CFTs and $AdS_5 \times S^5$," JHEP **07** (2015) 019 [arXiv:1504.02781 [hep-th]]; G. Georgiou, K. Sfetsos and K. Siampos, "All-loop anomalous dimensions in integrable λ-deformed σ-models," arXiv:1509.02946 [hep-th]; G. Itsios, K. Sfetsos, K. Siampos and A. Torrielli, "The classical Yang-Baxter equation and the associated Yangian symmetry of gauged WZW-type theories," arXiv:1409.0554 [hep-th].

[50] B. Hoare, R. Roiban and A. A. Tseytlin, "On deformations of $\mathrm{AdS}_n\times\mathrm{S}^n$ supercosets," JHEP **1406** (2014) 002 [arXiv:1403.5517 [hep-th]].

[51] G. Arutyunov and S. J. van Tongeren, "The $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$ mirror model as a string," arXiv:1406.2304 [hep-th].

[52] G. Arutynov, M. de Leeuw and S. J. van Tongeren, "On the exact spectrum and mirror duality of the $(AdS_5 \times S^5)_\eta$ superstring," arXiv:1403.6104 [hep-th].

[53] S. J. van Tongeren, "On classical Yang-Baxter based deformations of the $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5$ superstring," JHEP **1506** (2015) 048 [arXiv:1504.05516 [hep-th]]; S. J. van Tongeren, "Yang-Baxter deformations, AdS/CFT, and twist-noncommutative gauge theory," arXiv:1506.01023 [hep-th].

[54] B. Vicedo, "Deformed integrable σ-models, classical R-matrices and classical exchange algebra on Drinfel'd doubles," J. Phys. A **48** (2015) 35, 355203 [arXiv:1504.06303 [hep-th]].

[55] B. Hoare and A. A. Tseytlin, "On integrable deformations of superstring sigma models related to $AdS_n \times S^n$ supercosets," Nucl. Phys. B **897** (2015) 448 [arXiv:1504.07213 [hep-th]].

[56] K. Sfetsos, K. Siampos and D. C. Thompson, "Generalised integrable λ- and η-deformations and their relation," Nucl. Phys. B **899** (2015) 489 [arXiv:1506.05784 [hep-th]].

[57] C. Klimcik, "η and λ deformations as $\mathcal{E}$-models," arXiv:1508.05832 [hep-th].